

Town of Wenham

Town Hall 138 Main Street Wenham, MA 01984

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

TEL 978-468-5520

FAX 978-468-8014

APPLICATION FOR PUBLIC HEARING

Appeal From the Action of the Building Inspector - Fee: \$250 Special Permit for Single Family Residence - Fee: \$250 Special Permit for All Others Including Commercial - Fee: \$400

Variance - Fee: \$250

Application to Amend, Modify or Transfer a Variance or Special Permit – Fee: \$250

Checks made payable to the Town of Wenham

DATE:	6.15.21	
PHONE:	978-777-3050	
EMAIL:	dcolbert@hancockassociates.com	
APPLICANT:	Mr. Jerome & Ms. Marcy Hunsaker, III	
LOCATION O	F PROPERTY: 16 Larch Row	
Applicable Sec	Applicable Section of Zoning Bylaw: 255.5.2.(B)(1)(c). Hammerhead Lots	
	APPLICATION: e and or site plan as appropriate)	

See attached Narrative and Exhibit Plan by Hancock Associates.

I hereby request a hearing before the Board of Appeals with reference to the above noted application. I understand I will be billed for the publication of the legal notice and have completed the attached acknowledgement.

Signed MAnns



Surveyors | Engineers | Scientists 23774

APPLICATION FOR VARIANCE

16 Larch Row

The undersigned Jerome and Marcia Hunsaker are the owners of 16 Larch Row, consisting of an antique colonial residence on approximately 5 acres of land with 297 +/- feet of frontage on Larch Row. The Hunsakers wish to downsize from their existing home by building a smaller home on a roughly 40,000 + SF house lot to be divided from their existing property. To do so, they intend to seek a special permit from the Planning Board to reconfigure the existing home at 16 Larch Row into a "hammerhead" lot in accordance with Section 255-5.2B (1) of the Zoning Bylaw.

The existing residence is located 43.4 feet from the northerly side lot line and does not satisfy the requirement of a 50-foot setback from any lot line under Section 255-5.2(B)(c) of the Bylaw. The applicants have been advised that they require a variance to proceed.

The Hunsakers respectfully state as follows:

- 1. It is arguable that the 50-foot setback should not be construed to apply to an existing structure's setback. In the present case, the setback is already established and will not be changed by the creation of the hammerhead lot. In this regard, the language of the Bylaw is arguably prospective stating that the "nearest point of any building or structure shall be set back 50 feet from all lot lines." Since the residence is already 43.4 feet from the northerly side setback and that distance will not be changed by the reconfiguration of the lot as a hammerhead lot, the requirement of Section 255-5.2(B)(c) should not apply.
- 2. Alternatively, to the extent that the 50-foot requirement does apply to the existing residence, then the criteria for a variance are satisfied under Section 255-13.6 of the Bylaw: "owing to circumstances relating to the soil conditions, shape or topography of such land or structures and not affecting generally the zoning district in which it is located, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the ordinance or by-law would involve substantial hardship, financial or otherwise, to the petitioner...., and that desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of such ordinance or bylaw." The existing lot is a large, irregularly shaped lot improved with a pre-existing residence that cannot be moved without considerable expense and potential damage to the antique building. Moreover, the existing 43.4 foot setback from the northerly lot line is well in excess of the required 15-foot setback in the underlying zoning district and nearly complies with the 50-foot hammerhead setback. In this case, relief can be granted without detriment to the public good and without substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the setback requirement.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerome C. Hunsaker

Dated: June 15, 2021

